

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,983	11/14/2003	Douglas Bryman	98731-000125/US/COA	7496	
30593	7590 06/17/2004		EXAMINER		
	, DICKEY & PIERCE	GAGLIARDI, ALBERT J			
P.O. BOX 89		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RESTON, VA 20195			2878		
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)							
		10/706,983	BRYMAN	BRYMAN, DOUGLAS					
		Examiner	Art Unit		N				
		Albert J. Gagliardi	2878		<i>r</i>				
Th MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sh	t with th correspond	lence addr	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF THI - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If the period for reply specified above i - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later the search of patent term adjustment. See 3	S COMMUNICATION. Ider the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 Idea of this communication. I less than thirty (30) days, a reply e, the maximum statutory period v ed period for reply will, by statute than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, my within the statutory minimum vill apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be cons MONTHS from the mailing da me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.	ate of this come § 133).	munication.				
Status									
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 3)☐ Since this application is	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
Disposition of Claims									
4a) Of the above claim(5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are a 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rej 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are o	6) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
Application Papers									
	14 November 2003 is/a t that any objection to the cet(s) including the correct	re: a)⊠ accepted or drawing(s) be held in ab ion is required if the dra	eyance. See 37 CFR 1 wing(s) is objected to. S	l.85(a). See 37 CFR	t 1.12 <u>1</u> (d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr 3) Information Disclosure Statement(Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/03.	awing Review (PTO-948)	Pape 5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Applic r:	ation (PTO-1	152)				

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 29 is objected to because of the following informalities:

The term "the first three-dimensional position" in claim 29 should be changed to -- the first multi-dimensional position -- so as to be consistent with the antecedent "a first multi-dimensional position" as recited in claim 14.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 14 and 16-21, 23 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: the medium being adapted to emit light. The examiner notes that absent some antecedent limitation (in claim 14) that the medium be adapted to emit light, it is unclear how the plurality of photodetectors (i.e., light detectors) may be adapted to determine a first multidimensional position of the interaction. The examiner further notes that while emission of light could be considered an implied limitation of the of the apparatus, the positive recitation of an adaptation to emit light as recited in dependent claim 15 raises the question of whether of not claim 15 is redundant or not further limiting.

The remaining claims are rejected on the basis of their dependency.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible

Application/Control Number: 10/706,983

Art Unit: 2878

harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-45 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of copending Application No. 10/192,614. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for the reasons noted below

Regarding claims 1-24, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to alter the wording of the claims (particularly changing the limitation of three-dimensional to multi-dimensional) so as to generate new claims differing in scope.

Regarding claim 25-45, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include specific steps or limitations relating to different species of the invention wherein the multi-dimensional position determination is made on the basis of spatial distribution and/or

arrival times of the light and/or ionization electrons at the respective detecting and/or collecting means so as to generate new claims differing in scope and to more clearly define the invention.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Albert J. Gagliardi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2436. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 9 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Albert J. Gagliardi Primary Examiner Art Unit 2878